arXiv:0711.4195v2 [math.AP] 9 Jun 2008

ON ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY IN ENERGY SPACE OF GROUND STATES FOR NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

SCIPIO CUCCAGNA AND TETSU MIZUMACHI

Abstract. We consider nonlinear Schrödinger equations

$$iu_t + \Delta u + \beta(|u|^2)u = 0\,, \text{ for } (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $d \geq 3$ and β is smooth. We prove that symmetric finite energy solutions close to orbitally stable ground states converge to a sum of a ground state and a dispersive wave as $t \to \infty$ assuming the so called Fermi Golden Rule (FGR) hypothesis. We improve the "sign condition" required in a recent paper by Gang Zhou and I.M.Sigal.

1. Introduction

We consider asymptotic stability of standing wave solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations

$$\text{(NLS)} \qquad \begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u + \beta(|u|^2)u = 0\,, \text{ for } (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

where $d \geq 3$ and β is smooth.

In this paper, we discuss the asymptotic stability of ground states in the energy class. Following Soffer and Weinstein [31], the papers [2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 26, 27, 32, 35, 36, 37] studied the case when the initial data are rapidly decreasing and the linearized operators of (NLS) at the ground states have at most one pair of eigenvalues that lie close to the continuous spectrum. Cases when the linearized operators have many eigenvalues were considered in [34]. One of the difficulties in proving asymptotic stability is the possible existence of invariant tori corresponding to eigenvalues of the linearization. A large amount of effort has been spent to show that "metastable" tori decay like $t^{-1/2}$ as $t \to \infty$ by means of a mechanism called Fermi Golden Rule

---

Scipio Cuccagna wishes to thank Professor Yoshio Tsutsumi for supporting a visit at Kyushu and Kyoto Universities where part of this work was carried out, and Gang Zhou for information about [44, 45].

Tetsu Mizumachi is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 17740079).

(FGR) introduced by Sigal [29] and by a normal form expansion. Recently, thanks to a significant improvement of the normal form expansion, Zhou and Sigal [45] were able to prove asymptotic stability of ground states in the case when the linearized operators have two eigenvalues not necessarily close to the continuous spectrum. In a different direction, Gustafson *et al.* [18] proved that small solitons are asymptotically stable in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $d \geq 3$ and if the linearized operators do not have eigenvalues except for the 0 eigenvalue. Recently, [23, 24] extended [18] to the lower dimensional cases ($d = 1, 2$). The papers [18, 23, 24] utilize the endpoint Strichartz estimate or local smoothing estimates.

In the present paper, we unify the methods in [45] and [18] and show that the result proved by [45] in a weighted space holds also in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Furthermore, our assumption on (FGR) is weaker than [45]. [45] assumes a sign hypothesis on a coefficient of the ODE for the discrete mode. See [44] for a conjecture behind this assumption. By exploiting the orbital stability of solitons, we show that it is enough to assume the nondegeneracy of the coefficient, without any need to assume anything about its sign.

To be more precise, let us introduce our assumptions.

(H1) $\beta(0) = 0$, $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$;

(H2) there exists a $p \in (1, \frac{d+2}{d-2})$ such that for every $k = 0, 1$,

$$\left| \frac{d^k}{dv^k} \beta(v^2) \right| \lesssim |v|^{p-k-1} \quad \text{if } |v| \geq 1;$$

(H3) there exists an open interval $\mathcal{O}$ such that

$$\Delta u - \omega u + \beta(u^2) u = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.1}$$

admits a C^1-family of ground states $\phi_\omega(x)$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{O}$.

We also assume the following.

(H4)

$$\frac{d}{d\omega} \|\phi_\omega\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} > 0 \quad \text{for } \omega \in \mathcal{O}, \tag{1.2}$$

(H5) Let $L_+ = -\Delta + \omega - \beta(\phi_\omega^2) - 2\beta'(\phi_\omega^2)\phi_\omega^2$ be the operator whose domain is $H^2_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then L_+ has exactly one negative eigenvalue and does not have kernel.

(H6) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $u_0(x) = u_0(-x)$. That is, the initial data u_0 of (NLS) is even.

(H7) Let H_ω be the linearized operator around $e^{it\omega}\phi_\omega$ (see Section 2 for the precise definition). H_ω has a positive simple eigenvalue $\lambda(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{O}$. There exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N\lambda(\omega) < \omega < (N+1)\lambda(\omega)$.

(H8) (FGR) is nondegenerate (see Hypothesis 3.5 in Section 3).

(H9) The point spectrum of H_ω consists of 0 and $\pm\lambda(\omega)$. The points $\pm\omega$ are not resonances.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq 3$. Let $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\phi_{\omega_0}(x)$ be a ground state of* (1.1)*. Let $u(t,x)$ be a solution to* (NLS)*. Assume (H1)–(H9). Then, there exist an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a $C > 0$ such that if $\varepsilon := \inf_{\gamma\in[0,2\pi]} \|u_0 - e^{i\gamma}\phi_\omega\|_{H^1} < \epsilon_0$, there exist $\omega_+ \in \mathcal{O}$, $\theta \in C^1(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ and $h_\infty \in H^1$ with $\|h_\infty\|_{H^1} + |\omega_+ - \omega_0| \leq C\varepsilon$ such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|u(t,\cdot) - e^{i\theta(t)}\phi_{\omega_+} - e^{it\Delta}h_\infty\|_{H^1} = 0.$$

Remark 1.1. Under the assumption (H1)–(H5), it is well known that the standing waves are stable (see [6, 16, 17, 28, 40] and the references in [5]).

Remark 1.2. Ground states of (1.1) are known to be unique for typical nonlinearities like $\beta(s) = s^{(p-1)/2}$ or $\beta(s) = s^{(p-1)/2} - s^{(q-1)/2}$ (see [14, 21, 22] and [41]). The assumption (H5) is satisfied for those cases (see [19, 22]).

Remark 1.3. Hypothesis (H9) is generic because resonances and embedded eigenvalues can be eliminated by perturbations following the ideas in [11, 12].

Remark 1.4. Hypothesis (H8), that is Hypothesis 3.5 in Section 3, probably holds generically.

Remark 1.5. Hypothesis (H6), that is the symmetry assumption $u_0(x) = u_0(-x)$, can be dropped maintaining the same proof, if we add some inhomogeneity to the equation, for example a linear term $V(x)u$. In particular our result holds in the setting of [45].

Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.1 supports the conjecture by Soffer and Weinstein in [33] about the sign in "dispersive" normal forms for 1 dimensional Hamiltonian systems coupled to dispersive equations, since we prove in our case that the sign is the expected one.

Conclusions similar to Theorem 1.1 can be obtained allowing more eigenvalues for the linearization, replacing (H7)–(H9) with:

(H7') H_ω has a certain number of simple positive eigenvalues with $0 < N_j\lambda_j(\omega) < \omega < (N_j+1)\lambda_j(\omega)$ with $N_j \geq 1$.

(H8') The (FGR) Hypothesis 5.2 in Section 5 holds.

(H9') H_ω has no other eigenvalues except for 0 and the $\pm\lambda_j(\omega)$. The points $\pm\omega$ are not resonances.

(H10') For a multi indexes $m = (m_1, m_2, ...)$ and $n = (n_1, ...)$, setting $\lambda(\omega) = (\lambda_1(\omega), ...)$ and $(m-n)\cdot\lambda = \sum(m_j - n_j)\lambda_j$, we have the following non resonance hypotheses: $(m-n)\cdot\lambda(\omega) = 0$ implies $m = n$ and $(m-n)\cdot\lambda(\omega) \neq \omega$ for all (m, n)

Theorem 1.2. *The same conclusions of Theorem 1.1 hold assuming (H1)–(H6) and (H7')–(H10').*

Remark 1.7. The (FGR) Hypothesis 5.2 is an analogue of the (FGR) in [45] and is a sign hypothesis on the coefficients of the equation of the discrete modes. In particular it is stronger than Hypothesis 3.5. In the case $N_j = 1$ for all j, one can replace Hypothesis 5.2 with an hypothesis similar to Hypothesis 3.5 in the sense that it is known that if certain coefficients are non zero, then they have a specific sign.

Remark 1.8. If we do not assume (H6), the solitary waves can move around. This causes technical difficulties when trying to show asymptotic stability in the energy space. However the results of this paper go through if we break the translation invariance of (NLS) by adding for example a linear term $V(x)u(t,x)$ as in [45] or by replacing the nonlinearity by $V(x)\beta(|u|^2)u$, for appropriate $V(x)$.

Remark 1.9. The result in [45] is restricted to initial data satisfying a certain symmetry assumption and to an (NLS) with an additional linear term $V(x)u(t,x)$ with $V(x) = V(|x|)$. The argument of Theorem 1.2 can be used to generalize the result in [45] to generic, not spherically symmetric, $V(x)$ and for initial data in H^1 not required to satisfy symmetry assumptions. The case when $V(x)$ is spherically symmetric is untouched by our argument, because in that case the linearization admits a nonzero eigenvalue which is non simple.

Remark 1.10. Theorem 1.2 is relevant to a question in [33] on whether in the multi eigenvalues case the interaction of distinct discrete modes causes persistence of some excited states or radiation always wins. Theorem 1.2 suggests that the latter case is the correct one.

Remark 1.11. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be proved also in dimensions 1 and 2 extending to the linearizations the smoothing estimates for Schrödinger operators proved in [23, 24]. See [9, 13].

Remark 1.12. Theorem 1.2 seems also relevant to L^2 critical Schrödinger equations with a spatial inhomogeneity in the nonlinearity treated by Fibich and Wang [15], in the sense that if certain spectral assumptions and a (FGR) hold, it should be possible to prove that the ground states which are shown to be stable in [15], are also asymptotically stable, at least in the low dimensions $d = 1, 2$ when the critical nonlinearity is smooth.

Remark 1.13. The ideas in this paper can also be used to give partial proof of the orbital instability of standing waves with nodes, even in the case when these waves are linearly stable, see [10].

Gustafson, Nakanishi and Tsai have announced Theorem 1.1 in the case $N = 1$ for the equation of [35] where some small ground states are obtained by bifurcation. Our proof is valid in their case and has the advantage that can treat large solitons and the case where eigenvalues are not necessarily close to the edge of continuous spectrum.

Our paper is planned as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the ansatz and linear estimates that will be used later. In Section 3, we introduce normal form expansions on dispersive part and discrete modes of solutions. In Section 4, we prove a priori estimates of transformed equations and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 we sketch the proof of Theorem 1.2. In the Appendix, we give the proof of the normal form expansion used in Theorem 1.1 following [3, 4, 45].

Finally, let us introduce several notations. Given an operator L, we denote by $N(L)$ the kernel of L and by $N_g(L)$ the generalized kernel of L. We denote by R_L the resolvent operator $(L - \lambda)^{-1}$.

A vector or a matrix will be called real when all of their components are real valued. Let $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_a$ be a set of functions defined by $\mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|e^{a\langle x \rangle} u\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$. For any Banach spaces X, Y, we denote by $B(X, Y)$ the space of bounded linear operators from X to Y. Various constants will be simply denoted by C in the course of calculations.

2. Linearization, modulation and set up

Now, we review some well known facts about the linearization at a ground state. We can write the ansatz

$$u(t,x)=e^{i\theta(t)}(\phi_{\omega(t)}(x)+r(t,x))\,,\ \theta(t)=\int_0^t\omega(s)ds+\gamma(t) \tag{2.1}$$

Inserting the ansatz into the equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} ir_t=&-\Delta r+\omega(t)r-\beta(\phi_{\omega(t)}^2)r-\beta'(\phi_{\omega(t)}^2)\phi_{\omega(t)}^2 r\\ &-\beta'(\phi_{\omega(t)}^2)\phi_{\omega(t)}^2\overline{r}+\dot\gamma(t)\phi_{\omega(t)}-i\dot\omega(t)\partial_\omega\phi_{\omega(t)}+\dot\gamma(t)r+O(r^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Because of $\overline{r}$, we write the above as a system. Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_1=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix},\sigma_2=\begin{pmatrix}0&i\\-i&0\end{pmatrix},\sigma_3=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix};\\ &H_{\omega,0}=\sigma_3(-\Delta+\omega),\ V_\omega=-\sigma_3\left[\beta(\phi_\omega^2)+\beta'(\phi_\omega^2)\phi_\omega^2\right]+i\beta'(\phi_\omega^2)\phi_\omega^2\sigma_2;\\ &H(\omega)=H_{\omega,0}+V_\omega,\ R={}^t(r,\bar r),\quad \Phi_\omega={}^t(\phi_\omega,\phi_\omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Then (2.2) is rewritten as

$$iR_t=H_{\omega(t)}R+\sigma_3\dot\gamma R+\sigma_3\dot\gamma\Phi_{\omega(t)}-i\dot\omega\partial_\omega\Phi_{\omega(t)}+\mathcal{N}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}=&\sigma_3\big\{\beta(|\Phi_\omega+R|^2/2)(\Phi_\omega+R)-\beta(|\Phi_\omega|^2/2)\Phi_\omega\\ &-\partial_\varepsilon\beta(|\Phi_\omega+\varepsilon R|^2/2)(\Phi_\omega+\varepsilon R)\big|_{\varepsilon=0}\big\}=O(R^2)\quad\text{as } R\to0. \end{aligned}$$

The essential spectrum of H_ω consists of $(-\infty,-\omega]\cup[\omega,+\infty)$. It is well known (see [40]) that under the assumption (H3)–(H6), 0 is an isolated eigenvalue of H_ω, $\dim N_g(H_\omega)=2$ and

$$H_\omega\sigma_3\Phi_\omega=0,\quad H_\omega\partial_\omega\Phi_\omega=-\Phi_\omega.$$

Since $H_\omega^*=\sigma_3H_\omega\sigma_3$, we have $N_g(H_\omega^*)=\text{span}\{\Phi_\omega,\sigma_3\partial_\omega\Phi_\omega\}$. Let $\xi(\omega)$ be a real eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\lambda(\omega)$. Then we have

$$H_\omega\xi(\omega)=\lambda(\omega)\xi(\omega),\quad H_\omega\sigma_1\xi(\omega)=-\lambda(\omega)\sigma_1\xi(\omega).$$

Note that $\langle\xi,\sigma_3\xi\rangle>0$ since $\langle\sigma H_\omega\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is positive definite on ${}^\perp N_g(H_\omega^*)$.

Both ϕ_ω and $\xi(\omega,x)$ are smooth in $\omega\in\mathcal{O}$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and satisfy

$$\sup_{\omega\in\mathcal{K},x\in\mathbb{R}^d}e^{a|x|}(\phi_\omega(x)|+|\xi(\omega,x)|<\infty$$

for every $a\in(0,\inf_{\omega\in\mathcal{K}}\sqrt{\omega-\lambda(\omega)})$ and every compact subset $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{O}$.

For $\omega \in \mathcal{O}$, we have the H_ω-invariant Jordan block decomposition

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^2) = N_g(H_\omega) \oplus \big(\oplus_\pm N(H_\omega \mp \lambda(\omega))\big) \oplus L^2_c(H_\omega), \tag{2.5}$$

where $L^2_c(H_\omega) := {}^\perp \{N_g(H^*_\omega) \oplus (\oplus_\pm N(H^*_\omega \mp \lambda(\omega))\}$. Correspondingly, we set

$$R(t) = z(t)\xi(\omega(t)) + \overline{z(t)}\sigma_1\xi(\omega(t)) + f(t), \tag{2.6}$$

$$R(t) \in {}^\perp N_g(H^*_{\omega(t)}) \quad \text{and} \quad f(t) \in L^2_c(H_{\omega(t)}). \tag{2.7}$$

By using the implicit function theorem, we obtain the following (see e.g. [25] for the proof).

Lemma 2.1. *Let I be a compact subset of $\mathcal{O}$ and let $u(t)$ be a solution to* (NLS). *Then there exist a $\delta_1 > 0$ and a $C > 0$ satisfying the following. If*

$$\delta := \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|u(t) - e^{i\theta_0}\phi_{\omega_0}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \delta_1$$

holds for a $T \ge 0$, an $\omega_0 \in I$ and a $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exist C^1-functions $z(t)$, $\omega(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ satisfying (2.1), (2.6) *and* (2.7) *for $0 \le t \le T$, and*

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} (|z(t)| + |\omega(t) - \omega_0| + |\theta(t) - \theta_0|) \le C\delta.$$

Remark 2.1. Let ε and ε_0 be as in Theorem 1.1 and let δ and δ_1 be as in Lemma 2.1. By (H4) and (H5), we have orbital stability of $e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_{\omega_0}$ and it follows that

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} (\|f(t)\|_{H^1} + |z(t)| + |\omega(t) - \omega_0|) \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

(See [39] and also [30].) Thus there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t) - e^{i\gamma}\phi_{\omega_0}\|_{H^1} < \delta_1/2.$$

By continuation argument (see e.g. [25]), we see that there exist $z \in C^1([0,\infty);\mathbb{C})$ and ω, $\theta \in C^1([0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$ such that (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied for $t \in [0,\infty)$.

Substituting (2.6) into (2.4), we have

$$if_t = \left(H_{\omega(t)} + \sigma_3\dot\gamma\right) f + l + \mathcal{N}, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} l =& \sigma_3\dot\gamma\Phi_{\omega(t)} - i\dot\omega\partial_\omega\Phi_{\omega(t)} \\ &+ (z\lambda(\omega(t)) - i\dot z)\xi(\omega(t)) - (\overline{z}\lambda(\omega(t)) + i\dot{\overline{z}})\sigma_1\xi(\omega(t)) \\ &+ \sigma_3\dot\gamma(z\xi(\omega(t)) + \bar z\sigma_1\xi(\omega(t))) - i\dot\omega(z\partial_\omega\xi(\omega(t)) + \bar z\sigma_1\partial_\omega\xi(\omega(t))). \end{aligned}$$

We expand $\mathcal{N}$ in (2.2) as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(R) = &\sum_{2\le |m+n|\le 2N+1} \Lambda_{m,n}(\omega) z^m \bar{z}^n + \sum_{1\le |m+n|\le N} z^m \bar{z}^n A_{m,n}(\omega) f \\ &+ O_{loc}(|f|^2 \langle \Phi_\omega + R\rangle^{p-3}) + O(|\beta(|f|^2) f|) + O_{loc}(|z^{2N+2}|),\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\Lambda_{m,n}(\omega)$ and $A_{m,n}(\omega)$ are real vectors and matrices which decay like $e^{-a|x|}$ as $|x| \to \infty$, with $\sigma_1 \Lambda_{m,n} = -\Lambda_{n,m}$ and $A_{m,n} = -\sigma_1 A_{n,m} \sigma_1$. In the sequel, we denote by $O_{loc}(g)$ terms with g multiplied by a function which decays like $e^{-a|x|}$. By taking the L^2-inner product of the equation with generators of $N_g(H^*)$ and $N(H^* - \lambda)$, we obtain a system of ordinary differential equations on modulation and discrete modes.

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} i\dot{\omega} \\ \dot{\gamma} \\ i\dot{z} - \lambda z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{N}, \Phi_\omega \rangle \\ \langle \mathcal{N}, \sigma_3 \partial_\omega \Phi_\omega \rangle \\ \langle \mathcal{N}, \sigma_3 \xi(\omega) \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} = &\operatorname{diag}\left(d\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2/d\omega, -d\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2/d\omega, \langle \xi, \sigma_3 \xi\rangle \right) \\ &+ O(|z| + \|e^{-a|x|} f\|_{L^2}).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we introduce linear estimates which will be used later. Let $P_c(\omega)$ be the spectral projection from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^2)$ onto $L^2_c(H_\omega)$ associated to the splitting (2.5).

Lemma 2.2 (the Strichartz estimate)**.** *Let $d \ge 3$. Assume (H3)–(H9). Let $\omega \in \mathcal{O}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$. Then*

$$\|\nabla^k e^{itH_\omega} P_c(\omega) \varphi\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^2_t L^{2d/(d-2)}_x} \lesssim \|\nabla^k \varphi\|_{L^2} \tag{2.11}$$

for any $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^2)$, and

$$\left\| \nabla^k \int_0^t e^{-isH_\omega} P_c(\omega) g(s) ds \right\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\nabla^k g\|_{L^1_t L^2_x + L^2_t L^{2d/(d+2)}_x}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\left\| \nabla^k \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)H_\omega} P_c(\omega) g(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^2_t L^{2d/(d-2)}_x} \lesssim \|\nabla^k g\|_{L^1_t L^2_x + L^2_t L^{2d/(d+2)}_x} \tag{2.13}$$

for any $g \in L^1_t L^2_x + L^2_t L^{2d/(d+2)}_x$.

Proof. As is explained in Yajima [42, 43], Lemma 2.2 follows from the Strichartz estimates in the flat case and $W^{k,p}$-boundedness of wave operators and their inverses. Specifically, let $W(\omega) = \lim_{t\to\infty} e^{-itH_\omega} e^{it\sigma_3(-\Delta+\omega)}$.

By [7, 12],

$$W(\omega)\colon W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C}^2)\to W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C}^2)\cap {}^{\perp}N_g(H_\omega^*)$$

and its inverse are bounded for $k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ and $1\le p\le\infty$. By $e^{-itH_\omega}P_c(\omega)=W(\omega)e^{it\sigma_3(\Delta-\omega)}W^{-1}(\omega)$ and by Keel and Tao [20], we obtain (2.11)–(2.13). □

By our hypotheses and by regularity theory, the map $\omega\to V_\omega$ which associates to ω the vector potential in (2.3), is a continuous function with values in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C}^4)$. The following holds also under weaker hypotheses.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $s_1=s_1(d)>0$ be a fixed sufficiently large number. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a compact subset of $\mathcal{O}$ and let I be a compact subset of $(\omega,\infty)\cup(-\infty,-\omega)$. Assume that $\omega\to V_\omega$ is continuous with values in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C}^4)$. Assume furthermore that for any $\omega\in\mathcal{O}$ there are no eigenvalues of H_ω in the continuous spectrum and the points $\pm\omega$ are not resonances. Then there exists a $C>0$ such that*

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-s_1}e^{-iH_\omega t}R_{H_\omega}(\mu+i0)P_c(\omega)g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\le C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{d}{2}}\|\langle x\rangle^{s_1}g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for every $t\ge0$, $\mu\in I$, $\omega\in\mathcal{K}$ and $g\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C}^2)$.

We skip the proof. See [8] for $d=3$ and $I\subset(\omega,\infty)$, see also [33]. The proof for $d=3$ and $I\subset(-\infty,-\omega)$ is almost the same. Finally for $d>3$ a similar proof to [8] holds, changing the formulas for $R_{-\Delta}(\mu+i0)$.

3. NORMAL FORM EXPANSIONS

In this section, following [45] we introduce normal form expansions on the dispersive part f, the modulation mode ω and the discrete mode z.

First, we will expand f into normal forms isolating the slowly decaying part of solutions that arises from the nonlinear interaction of discrete and continuous modes of the wave.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume (H1)–(H9) and that $\varepsilon_*>0$ in Theorem 1.1 is sufficiently small. Let $a\in(0,\inf_{\omega\in\mathcal{K}}\sqrt{\omega-\lambda(\omega)})$. Then there exist $\Phi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega)\in\mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^2)\cap L^2_c(H_\omega)$ for $(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$ with $m+n=N+1$ and $\Psi_{m,n}(\omega)\in\mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^2)\cap L^2_c(H_\omega)$ for $(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$ with $2\le m+n\le N$ such that for*

$t \geq 0$,

$$f(t) = f_N(t) + \sum_{2 \leq m+n \leq N} \Psi_{m,n}(\omega(t)) z(t)^m \overline{z(t)^n}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & iP_c(\omega(t))\partial_t f_N - \Big(H_{\omega(t)} + P_c(\omega(t))\dot{\gamma(t)}\sigma_3\Big) f_N \\ = & \sum_{m+n=N+1} \Phi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega(t)) z(t)^m \overline{z(t)^n} + \mathcal{N}_N, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_N$ is the remainder term satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{N}_N| \lesssim & (|z|^{N+2} + |zf_N| + |f_N|^2)e^{-a|x|} + |f_N|^{1+4/d} + |f_N|^{(d+2)/(d-2)} \\ & + |z|(|z|\|e^{-a|x|}f_N\|_{L^2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2}f_N\|^2_{H^1})e^{-a|x|}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Before we start to prove Lemma 3.1, we observe the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose (H1)–(H9) and that $\varepsilon_* > 0$ is a sufficiently small number. Then for $t \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} i\dot\omega \\ \dot\gamma \\ i\dot z - \lambda z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p(z,\bar z) \\ q(z,\bar z) \\ r(z,\bar z) \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{1 \leq m+n \leq N} \begin{pmatrix} \langle f, \alpha_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle \\ \langle f, \beta_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle \\ \langle f, \gamma_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle \end{pmatrix} z^m \bar z^n \\ + O(|z|^{2N+2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2}f\|^2_{H^1}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $p(x,y)$, $q(x,y)$, $r(x,y)$ are real polynomials of degree $(2N+1)$ satisfying

$$|p(x,y)| + |q(x,y)| + |r(x,y)| = O(x^2 + y^2)$$

as $(x,y) \to (0,0)$ and $\alpha_{m,n}(\omega)$, $\beta_{m,n}(\omega)$, $\gamma_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2_c(H^*_\omega)$ with $0 < a < \inf_{\omega \in \mathcal{K}} \sqrt{\omega - \lambda(\omega)}$.

Proof. Let us substitute (2.9) into (2.10). Since $\mathcal{N}(R) = O(R^2)$ as $R \to 0$, the resulting equation can be written as (3.4). The components of the matrix $\mathcal{A}$ in (2.10) are given by real linear expressions of z, $\bar z$ and $\langle f, \Phi_\omega\rangle$, $\langle f, \sigma\partial_\omega\Phi_\omega\rangle$ and $\langle f, \sigma_3\xi\rangle$. Hence it follows that $p(x,y)$, $q(x,y)$, $r(x,y)$ are real polynomials and $\alpha_{m,n}(\omega)$, $\beta_{m,n}(\omega)$, $\gamma_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2)$. Since $f \in L^2_c(H_\omega)$, we choose $\alpha_{m,n}(\omega,x)$, $\beta_{m,n}(\omega,x)$ and $\gamma_{m,n}(\omega,x)$ in $L^2_c(H^*_\omega)$. □

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We will prove Lemma 3.1 by induction. Let $f_1 = f$ and let

$$f_{k+1}(t) = f_k(t) + \sum_{m+n=k+1} z(t)^m \overline{z(t)^n} \Psi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega(t)) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq N-1, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\mathcal{O} \ni \omega \mapsto \Psi_{m,n}(\omega) \mapsto \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^2)\cap L^2_c(H_\omega)$ is C^1 in ω. We will choose $\Psi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega)$ so that for $k = 1,\cdots,N$, there exist $\Phi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2)\cap L^2_c(H_\omega)$ ($m,\, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, $m+n = k+1$) and $\mathcal{N}_k \in L^2_c(H_\omega)$ such that

$$P_c(\omega)i\partial_t f_k - (H_\omega + P_c(\omega)\dot\gamma\sigma_3)\, f_k = \sum_{m+n=k+1} \Phi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega)z^m\bar z^n + \mathcal{N}_k, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{N}_k| \lesssim&(|z|^{k+2} + |zf_k| + |f_k|^2\langle f_k\rangle^{p-3})e^{-a|x|} + |\beta(|f_k|^2)f_k|\\ &+ |z|(|z|\|e^{-a|x|}f_k\|_{L^2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2}f_k\|^2_{H^1})e^{-a|x|}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

By (2.8), (2.9) and Lemma 3.2, there exist $\Phi^{(1)}_{2,0}(\omega)$, $\Phi^{(1)}_{1,1}(\omega)$, $\Phi^{(1)}_{0,2}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2)\cap L^2_c(H_\omega)$ such that

$$P_c(\omega)(l+\mathcal{N}) = \Phi^{(1)}_{2,0}(\omega)z^2 + \Phi^{(1)}_{1,1}(\omega)|z|^2 + \Phi^{(1)}_{0,2}(\omega)\bar z^2 + \mathcal{N}_1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{N}_1| \lesssim& e^{-a|x|}(|z|^3 + |zf| + |f|^2\langle f\rangle^{p-3}) + |\beta(|f|^2)f|\\ &+ e^{-a|x|}|z|(|z|\|e^{-a|x|}f\|_{L^2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2}f\|^2_{H^1}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (3.6) and (3.7) for $k = 1$.

Suppose that there exist $\Phi^{(k)}_{m,n} \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2)\cap L^2_c(H_{\omega(t)})$ satisfying (3.6) and (3.7). Substituting (3.5) into (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&iP_c(\omega)\partial_t f_{k+1} - (H_\omega + \dot\gamma\sigma_3)f_{k+1}\\ =&\mathcal{N}_k + \sum_{m+n=k+1} P_c(\omega)\left(\dot\gamma\sigma_3\Psi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) - i\dot\omega\partial_\omega\Psi_{m,n}(\omega)\right)z^m\bar z^n\\ &+ \sum_{m+n=k+1} z^m\overline{z^n}(H_\omega - (m-n)\lambda)\Psi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) + \sum_{m+n=k+1} z^m\overline{z^n}\Phi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega)\\ &- \sum_{m+n=k+1}\left(mz^{m-1}\bar z^n(i\dot z - \lambda z) - nz^m\bar z^{n-1}\overline{(i\dot z - \lambda z)}\right)\Psi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega)\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Put

$$\Psi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) = -R_{H_\omega}((m-n)\lambda)\Phi^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega).$$

Then by (3.4), the right hand side of (3.8) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{m+n=k+2}\Phi^{(k+1)}_{m,n}(\omega)z^m\bar z^n + \mathcal{N}_{k+1}$$

for some $\Phi^{(k+1)}_{m,n} \in L^2_c(H_\omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2)$ (m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m+n=k+2$) and $\mathcal{N}_{k+1}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{N}_{k+1}| \lesssim &(|z|^{k+3} + |zf_k| + |f_k|^2\langle f_k\rangle^{p-3})e^{-a|x|} + |\beta(|f_k|^2)f_k| \\ &+ |z|(|z|\|e^{-a|x|}f_k\|_{L^2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2}f_k\|^2_{H^1})e^{-a|x|}.\end{aligned}$$

By (H1) and (H2),

$$|\beta(|u|^2)u| \lesssim |u|^3\langle u\rangle^{p-3} \lesssim |u|^{1+\frac{4}{d}} + |u|^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}}.$$

Thus we have (3.3). □

Let $\tilde{f}_N = P_c(\omega_0)f_N$ and

$$f_{N+1} = \tilde{f}_N + \sum_{m+n=N+1} \Psi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega_0)z^m\bar{z}^n, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega_0) = -R_{H_{\omega_0}}((m-n)\lambda)\Phi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega_0) \text{ for } |m-n| \leq N \\ &\Psi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(\omega_0) = -R_{H_{\omega_0}}((N+1)\lambda + i0)\Phi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(\omega_0), \\ &\Psi^{(N)}_{0,N+1}(\omega_0) = -R_{H_{\omega_0}}(-(N+1)\lambda + i0)\Phi^{(N)}_{0,N+1}(\omega_0)\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

To simplify (3.4), we will introduce new variables

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega} &:= \omega + P(z,\bar{z}) + \sum_{1\leq m+n\leq N} z^m\bar{z}^n\langle f_N, \tilde{\alpha}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle, \\ \tilde{z} &:= \omega + Q(z,\bar{z}) + \sum_{1\leq m+n\leq N} z^m\bar{z}^n\langle f_N, \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $P(x,y)$ and $Q(x,y)$ are real polynomials and $\tilde{\alpha}_{m,n}$, $\tilde{\beta}_{m,n} \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume (H1)–(H9) and that ε_* is sufficiently small. Then there exist a polynomial $P(x,y)$ of degree $2N+1$ satisfying $P(x,y) = O(x^2+y^2)$ as $(x,y)\to(0,0)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_{m,n}(\omega) \in L^2_c(H^*_\omega)\cap\mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that for $t\geq 0$,*

$$i\dot{\tilde{\omega}} = O(|z|^{2N+2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2}f_{N+1}\|^2_{L^2}) \quad \textit{for } t \geq 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Assume (H1)–(H9) and that ε_* is sufficiently small. Then there exists a polynomial $Q(x,y)$ of degree $2N+1$ satisfying $Q(x,y) = O(x^2+y^2)$ as $(x,y)\to(0,0)$, and $\tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(\omega) \in L^2_c(H^*_\omega)\cap\mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that for $t\geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}i\dot{\tilde{z}} - \lambda\tilde{z} = &\sum_{1\leq m\leq N} a_m(\omega,\omega_0)|\tilde{z}|^{2m}\tilde{z} + \overline{\tilde{z}^N}\langle f_{N+1}, \tilde{\gamma}^{(N)}_{0,N}(\omega)\rangle \\ &+ O(|\tilde{z}|^{2N+2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2}f_{N+1}\|^2_{L^2}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $a_m(\omega,\omega_0)$ $(1 \le m \le N-1)$ *are real numbers, and* $\tilde{\gamma}_{0,N}^{(N)}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 can be obtained in the same way as [45]. See Appendix for the proof.

Now, let us introduce our assumption on (FGR). Let

$$\Gamma(\omega,\omega_0) := \Im a_N(\omega,\omega_0).$$

Hypothesis 3.5. *There exists a positive constant* Γ *such that*

$$\inf_{\omega\in\mathcal{O}} |\Gamma(\omega,\omega)| > \Gamma.$$

Under the above assumption, we have the following.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume (H1)–(H9) and that* $\varepsilon_* > 0$ *is sufficiently small. Then there exist a positive constant* C *such that for every* $T \ge 0$,

$$\int_0^T |z(t)|^{2N+2}dt \le C\left(|z(T)|^2 + |z(0)|^2 + \int_0^T \|e^{-a|x|/2}f_{N+1}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}dt\right).$$

Proof. Choosing ε_* smaller if necessary, we may assume that $|\Gamma(\omega(t),\omega_0)| > \Gamma/2$ for every $t \ge 0$. Multiplying (3.12) by $\overline{\tilde{z}}$ and taking the imaginary part of the resulting equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\frac{|\tilde{z}|^2}{2} &= \Gamma(\omega,\omega_0)|\tilde{z}|^{2N+2} + \Im\overline{\tilde{z}}^{N+1}\langle f_{N+1},\tilde{\gamma}_{0,N}^{(N)}(\omega)\rangle \\ &\quad + O(|\tilde{z}|^{2N+3} + |\tilde{z}|\|e^{-a|x|/2}f_{N+1}\|^2_{L^2}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

By the Schwarz inequality, we have for a $c > 0$,

$$\left|\Im\bar{z}^{N+1}\langle f_{N+1},\gamma_{0,N}^{(N)}(\omega)\rangle\right| \le \frac{\Gamma}{4}|z|^{2N+2} + C\|e^{-a|x|/2}f_{N+1}\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain Lemma 3.6. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

To begin with, we restate Theorem 1.1 in a more precise form.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume (H1)–(H9) and that* $d \ge 3$. *Let* u *be a solution of* (NLS), $U = {}^t(u,\overline{u})$, *and let* $\Psi_{m,n}(\omega)$ *be as in Lemma 3.1. Then if* ε_* *in Theorem 1.1 is sufficiently small, there exist* C^1*-functions* $\omega(t)$ *and* $\theta(t)$, *a* *constant* $\omega_+ \in \mathcal{O}$ *such that* $\sup_{t\geq 0}|\omega(t)-\omega_0| = O(\varepsilon)$, $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\omega(t)=\omega_+$ *and we can write*

$$\begin{aligned} U(t,x) =& e^{i\theta(t)\sigma_3}\left(\Phi_{\omega(t)}(x)+z(t)\xi(\omega(t))+\overline{z(t)}\sigma_1\xi(\omega(t))\right) \\ &+ e^{i\theta(t)\sigma_3}\sum_{\substack{2\leq m+n\leq N\\ m,n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}}\Psi_{m,n}(\omega(t))z(t)^m\overline{z(t)}^n + e^{i\theta(t)\sigma_3}f_N(t,x), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\|z(t)\|^{N+1}_{L_t^{2N+2}} + \|f_N(t,x)\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1\cap L_t^2 W_x^{1,2d/(d-2)}} \leq C\epsilon.$$

Furthermore, there exists $f_\infty \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{C}^2)$ *such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\left\|e^{i\theta(t)\sigma_3}f_N(t)-e^{it\Delta\sigma_3}f_\infty\right\|_{H^1}=0.$$

Theorem 4.1 shows that a solution to (NLS) around the ground state can be decomposed into a main solitary wave, a well localized slowly decaying part, and a dispersive part that decays like a solution to $iu_t+\Delta u=0$.

To prove Proposition 3.1, we will apply the endpoint Strichartz estimate. Let $T>0$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} X_T &= L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^2(0,T;L^{2d/(d-2)}(\mathbb{R}^d)),\\ Y_T &= L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))+L^2(0,T;L^{2d/(d+2)}(\mathbb{R}^d)),\\ Z_T &= L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^d;\langle x\rangle^{-2s_1}dx)), \end{aligned}$$

where s_1 is the positive number given in Lemma 2.3. To prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume (H1)–(H9) and assume that* ε_* *is sufficiently small. Then there exists a* $C>0$ *such that for every* $T\geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tilde f_N\|_{X_T}+\|\nabla\tilde f_N\|_{X_T}\\ \leq& C\varepsilon + C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left(1+|\omega(t)-\omega_0|+|z(t)|\right)\|z\|^{N+1}_{L^{2N+2}} \\ &+ C\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|z(t)|+\|\tilde f_N\|_{X_T}^{\min(1,\frac{4}{d})}\right)(\|\tilde f_N\|_{X_T}+\|\nabla\tilde f_N\|_{X_T}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Assume (H1)–(H9). Let* s_1 *be as in Lemma 2.3 and let* $\varepsilon_*>0$ *be a sufficiently small number. Then there exists a* $C>0$ *such that for every*

$T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T} + \|\nabla f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T} \\
\leq & C\varepsilon + C \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \left(|\omega(t) - \omega_0| + |\dot\gamma(t)| + |z(t)|\right) \|z\|_{L^{2N+2}}^{N+1} \\
& + C \left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |z(t)| + \|\tilde f_N\|_{X_T}^{\min(1,\frac{4}{d})} \right) \left(\|\tilde f_N\|_{X_T} + \|\nabla \tilde f_N\|_{X_T}\right) \\
& + C \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |z(t)|^N \left(\|f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T} + \|\nabla f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}\right)^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

As in [3, 8], let $P_+(\omega)$ and $P_-(\omega)$ be the spectral projections defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
P_+(\omega) f &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\lambda\geq\omega} \left\{R_{H_\omega}(\lambda + i0) - R_{H_\omega}(\lambda - i0)\right\} f d\lambda, \\
P_-(\omega) f &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\lambda\leq-\omega} \left\{R_{H_\omega}(\lambda + i0) - R_{H_\omega}(\lambda - i0)\right\} f d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

To apply the Strichartz estimate (Lemma 2.2) to (3.2), we will use a gauge transformation introduced by [3] and give a priori estimates for the remainder terms.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume (H1)–(H9) and that ε_* is sufficiently small. For $t \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
i\partial_t \tilde f_N =& \Big(H_{\omega_0} + (\dot\theta - \omega_0)(P_+(\omega_0) - P_-(\omega_0))\Big) \tilde f_N \\
& + \sum_{m+n=N+1} \Phi_{m,n}^{(N)}(\omega_0) z^m \bar z^n + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i\partial_t f_{N+1} =& \Big(H_{\omega_0} + (\dot\theta - \omega_0)(P_+(\omega_0) - P_-(\omega_0))\Big) f_{N+1} \\
& + \mathcal{N}_{N+1} + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{N+1} =& (N+1) \left\{ z^N (i\dot z - \lambda z) \Psi_{N+1,0}^{(N+1)}(\omega) - \bar z^N \overline{(i\dot z - \lambda z)} \Psi_{0,N+1}^{(N+1)}(\omega) \right\} \\
& - (\dot\theta - \omega_0)(P_+(\omega) - P_-(\omega))(\Psi_{N+1,0}^{(N+1)} z^{N+1} + \Psi_{0,N+1}^{(N+1)}(\omega) \bar z^{N+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N\|_{Y_T} + \|\nabla \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N\|_{Y_T} + \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1}\|_{Y_T} + \|\nabla \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1}\|_{Y_T} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \left(|\omega(t) - \omega_0| + |z(t)|\right) \|z\|_{L^{2N+2}}^{N+1} \\
&+ \left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \left(|\omega(t) - \omega_0| + |z(t)|\right) + \|f_N\|_{X_T}^{\min(1,\frac{4}{d})} \right) \left(\|f_N\|_{X_T} + \|\nabla f_N\|_{X_T}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

To obtain Lemma 4.4, we need the following, which holds also under weaker hypotheses.

Lemma 4.5 ([8]). *Assume that $\omega \to V_\omega$ is continuous with values in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C}^4)$. Assume furthermore that for any $\omega \in \mathcal{O}$ there are no eigenvalues of H_ω in the continuous spectrum and the points $\pm\omega$ are not resonances. Then*

$$\|P_c(\omega)\sigma_3 - (P_+(\omega) - P_-(\omega))\|_{B(L^q,L^p)} \le c_{p,q}(\omega) < \infty.$$

for any $p \in [1,2]$ $q \in [2,\infty)$, where $c_{p,q}(\omega)$ is a constant upper semicontinuous in ω.

Proof of Lemma 4.4. By a simple computation, we have (4.3) and (4.4) with $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N = P_c(\omega_0)\mathcal{N}_N + \delta\mathcal{N}_N$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1} = \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N + \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{N}_N =& P_c(\omega_0)\left\{i\dot\omega\partial_\omega P_c(\omega) + (\dot\theta - \omega_0)\left(P_c(\omega)\sigma_3 - P_+(\omega_0) + P_-(\omega_0)\right)\right\} f_N \\ &+ \sum_{m+n=N+1} P_c(\omega_0)\left(\Phi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega) - \Phi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega_0)\right) z^m \bar z^n,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1} = &\sum_{\substack{m,n\in\mathbb{N}\\ m+n=N+1}} \left(mz^{m-1}\bar z^n(i\dot z - \lambda z) - nz^m\bar z^{n-1}\overline{(i\dot z - \lambda z)}\right)\Psi^{(N+1)}_{m,n}(\omega_0) \\ &- (\dot\theta - \omega_0)\sum_{\substack{m,n\in\mathbb{N}\\ m+n=N+1}} (P_+(\omega_0) - P_-(\omega_0))\Psi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega_0) z^m\bar z^n.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{N}_N\|_{Y_T} \lesssim& \sup_{0\le t\le T}|z(t)|\left(\|z\|^{N+1}_{L^{2(N+1)}(0,T)} + \|f_N\|_{X_T}\right) \\ &+ \|f_N\|^2_{X_T} + \|f_N\|^{\frac{d+4}{d}}_{X_T} + \|f_N\|_{X_T}\|f_N\|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}})}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\mathcal{N}_N\|_{Y_T} \lesssim& \sup_{0\le t\le T}|z(t)|\left(\|z\|^{N+1}_{L^{2(N+1)}(0,T)} + \|f_N\|_{X_T} + \|\nabla f_N\|_{X_T}\right) \\ &+ \|\nabla f_N\|_{X_T}\left(\|f_N\|_{X_T} + \|f_N\|^{\frac{4}{d}}_{X_T} + \|f_N\|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}})}\right).\end{aligned}$$

See [18] for the details. By (2.10), we have

$$|\dot\omega| + |\dot\theta - \omega| + |i\dot z - \lambda z| \lesssim |z|^2 + \|f_N\|^2_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}}.$$

From the definition, it is obvious that $\partial_\omega P_c(\omega) \in B(L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}, L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}})$. Thus by Lemma 4.5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta\mathcal{N}_N\|_{Y_T} + \|\nabla\delta\mathcal{N}_N\|_{Y_T} \lesssim{}& \sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(|z(t)|^2+\|f(t)\|_{H^1}^2\right)\|f_N\|_{X_T}\\ &+ \sup_{0\le t\le T}|\omega(t)-\omega_0|\left(\|z\|^{N+1}_{L^{2(N+1)}(0,T)}+\|f_N\|_{X_T}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\mathcal{N}_{N+1}\|_{Y_T} + \|\nabla\mathcal{N}_{N+1}\|_{Y_T} \lesssim \|z\|^{N+2}_{L^{2(N+2)}(0,T)} + \sup_{0\le t\le T}|z(t)|^N\|f_N\|^2_{X_T}.$$

Combining the above, we obtain (4.5). Thus we complete the proof. □

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $f_\pm = P_\pm(\omega_0)\tilde{f}_N$ and

$$U_\pm(t,s) = e^{\pm i\int_s^t(\omega_0-\dot{\theta})d\tau}P_\pm(\omega_0)e^{-i(t-s)H_{\omega_0}}P_\pm(\omega_0).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists a $C>0$ such that

$$\|U_\pm(\cdot,s)\varphi\|_{X_T} \le C\|\varphi\|_{L^2} \tag{4.6}$$

for every $T\ge 0$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and

$$\left\|\int_0^t U_\pm(t,s)g(s)ds\right\|_{X_T} \le C\|g\|_{Y_T} \tag{4.7}$$

for every $T\ge 0$ and $g\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$.

By Lemma 4.4,

$$f_\pm(t) = U_\pm(t,0)f_\pm(0) - i\int_0^t U_\pm(t,s)\left\{\sum_{m+n=N+1}\Phi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega_0)z^m\bar{z}^n + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N\right\}. \tag{4.8}$$

In view of Lemma 2.1 and the definition of $f_\pm(t)$, we have $\|f_\pm(0)\|_{H^1}\lesssim\varepsilon$. Applying (4.6) and (4.7) to (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_\pm(t)\|_{X_T} + \|\nabla f_\pm(t)\|_{X_T}\\ \lesssim{}&\|f_\pm(0)\|_{H^1} + \|z\|^{N+1}_{L^{2(N+1)}(0,T)} + \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N\|_{Y_T} + \|\nabla\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N\|_{Y_T}\\ \lesssim{}&\varepsilon + \sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(1+|\omega(t)-\omega_0|+|z(t)|\right)\|z\|^{N+1}_{L^{2N+2}}\\ &+\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|z(t)| + \|f_N\|_{X_T}^{\min(1,\frac{4}{d})}\right)(\|f_N\|_{X_T}+\|\nabla f_N\|_{X_T}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

By the definition of $P_c(\omega)$,

$$\|f_N-\tilde{f}_N\|_{H^1} \lesssim |\omega-\omega_0|\|e^{-a|x|}f_N\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.10}$$

Substituting (4.10) into (4.9), we obtain (4.1). Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 4.2. □

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Let $h_\pm(t) = P_\pm(\omega_0)f_{N+1}$. Using the variation of constants formula, we have

$$h_\pm(t) = U_\pm(t,0)h_\pm(0) - i\int_0^t U_\pm(t,s)(N_{N+1} + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1})ds.$$

Put $h_\pm(0) = h_{0,1,\pm} + h_{0,2,\pm}$, where

$$h_{0,2,\pm} = f_\pm(0) + \sum_{\substack{m+n=N+1\\ m,n\ge 1}} \Psi^{(N)}_{m,n}(\omega_0)z(0)^m\overline{z(0)^n}.$$

Note that $\Psi^{(N)}_{m,n}(0) \in H^1$ if m, $n \ge 1$, whereas $\Psi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(0)$ and $\Psi^{(N)}_{0,N+1}(0)$ may not belong to L^2.

Since $s_1 > 0$, we have $\|f\|_{Z_T} \lesssim \|f\|_{X_T}$. Applying (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|U_\pm(t,0)h_{0,2,\pm}\|_{Z_T} + \|\nabla U_\pm(t,0)h_{0,2,\pm}\|_{Z_T}\\ \lesssim&\|U_\pm(t,0)h_{0,2,\pm}\|_{X_T} + \|\nabla U_\pm(t,0)h_{0,2,\pm}\|_{X_T} \lesssim \varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\int_0^t U_\pm(t,s)\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1}ds\right\|_{Z_T} + \left\|\nabla\int_0^t U_\pm(t,s)\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1}ds\right\|_{Z_T}\\ \lesssim&\left\|\int_0^t U_\pm(t,s)\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1}ds\right\|_{X_T} + \left\|\nabla\int_0^t U_\pm(t,s)\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1}ds\right\|_{X_T}\\ \lesssim&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(|\omega(t)-\omega_0| + |z(t)|\right)\|z\|^{N+1}_{L^{2N+2}}\\ &+\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|z(t)| + \|\tilde f_N\|_{X_T}^{\min(1,\frac{4}{d})}\right)\left(\|\tilde f_N\|_{X_T} + \|\nabla\tilde f_N\|_{X_T}\right)\end{aligned}$$

in the same way as the proof of Lemma 4.2.

By Lemma 2.3 and the definition of $\Psi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(0)$ and $\Psi^{(N)}_{0,N+1}(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|U_\pm(t,0)h_{0,1,\pm}\|_{Z_T} + \|\nabla U_\pm(t,0)h_{0,2,\pm}\|_{Z_T}\\ \lesssim&\left\|\langle t\rangle^{-d/2}\left(\|\langle x\rangle^{s_1}\Phi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(0)\|_{H^1} + \|\langle x\rangle^{s_1}\Phi^{(N)}_{0,N+1}(0)\|_{H^1}\right)\right\|_{L^2(0,T)}\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$|i\dot z - \lambda z| \lesssim |z|^2 + \|e^{-a|x|/2}f_{N+1}\|^2_{H^1},$$

$$|\dot\theta - \omega_0| \le |\dot\theta - \omega| + |\omega - \omega_0| \lesssim |\omega - \omega_0| + |z|^2 + \|e^{-a|x|/2}f_{N+1}\|^2_{H^1}.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0,1}\left\|\int_0^t U_\pm(t,s)\mathcal{N}_{N+1}ds\right\|_{Z_T}+\left\|\nabla\int_0^t U_\pm(t,s)\mathcal{N}_{N+1}ds\right\|_{Z_T}\\
\lesssim&\left\|\int_0^t\langle t-s\rangle^{-d/2}(\varepsilon|z(s)|^{N+1}+|z(s)|^N\|e^{-a|x|/2}f_{N+1}(s)\|_{H^1}^2)ds\right\|_{L^2(0,T)}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon\|z\|_{L^{2N+2}(0,T)}^{N+1}+\sup_{0\le t\le T}|z(t)|^N(\|f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}+\|\nabla f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we obtain (4.2). $\square$

Now, we are in position to prove Theorem 1.1 and 4.1.

Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 4.1. Since $e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_{\omega_0}$ is orbitally stable, Lemma 3.2 and Remark 2.1 imply that

$$\sup_{t\ge 0}(|z(t)|+|\omega(t)-\omega_0|+|\dot\gamma(t)|)\lesssim\varepsilon.$$

We have

$$\|f_N\|_{W^{k,p}}\lesssim\|\tilde f_N\|_{W^{k,p}} \tag{4.11}$$

for every $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $1\le p\le\infty$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde f_N-f_N\|_{W^{k,p}}=&\|(P_c(\omega)-P_c(\omega_0))f_N\|_{W^{k,p}}\\
\lesssim&|\omega-\omega_0|\|f_N\|_{W^{k,p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemmas 3.6, 4.2 and 4.3, it holds that for every $T\ge 0$,

$$\|z\|_{L^{2N+2}(0,T)}^{N+1}\lesssim\varepsilon+\|f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}+\|\nabla f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f_N\|_{X_T}+\|\nabla f_N\|_{X_T}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon+\|z\|_{L^{2N+2}(0,T)}^{N+1}+\left(\varepsilon+\|f_N\|_{X_T}^{\min(1,\frac{4}{d})}\right)(\|f_N\|_{X_T}+\|\nabla f_N\|_{X_T}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}+\|\nabla f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon+\varepsilon\|z\|_{L^{2N+2}(0,T)}^{N+1}+\left(\varepsilon+\|f_N\|_{X_T}^{\min(1,\frac{4}{d})}\right)(\|f_N\|_{X_T}+\|\nabla f_N\|_{X_T})\\
&+\varepsilon^N(\|f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}+\|\nabla f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T})^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Let $A>0$ be a sufficiently large number. Adding (4.13) to (4.14) multiplied by A and substituting (4.12) into the resulting equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f_N\|_{X_T}+\|\nabla f_N\|_{X_T}+\frac{A}{2}(\|f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}+\|\nabla f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T})\\
\lesssim&\,\varepsilon+\|f_N\|_{X_T}^{\min(1,\frac{4}{d})}(\|f_N\|_{X_T}+\|\nabla f_N\|_{X_T})+\varepsilon^N(\|f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}+\|\nabla f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $T \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\|f_N\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1 \cap L_t^2 W_x^{1,\frac{2d}{d-2}}} + \|\langle x\rangle^{-s_1} f_{N+1}\|_{L_t^2 H_x^1} \lesssim \varepsilon, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\int_0^\infty |z(t)|^{2N+2} dt \lesssim \varepsilon. \tag{4.16}$$

Since $\dot z$ is bounded from (2.10), it follows from (4.16) that $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$. Furthermore, Lemma 3.3, (4.15) and (4.16) imply that there exists an $\omega_+ \in \mathcal{O}$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \omega(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \tilde\omega(t) = \omega_+.$$

Thus we prove Theorem 1.1.

Finally, we will prove that is $f_N(t)$ is asymptotically free as $t \to \infty$. Let $U(t,s) = U_+(t,s) + U_-(t,s)$ and $t_2 \ge t_1 \ge 0$. Lemma 2.2 and (4.3) yield that as $t_1 \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| U(0,t_2)\tilde f_N(t_2) - U(0,t_1)\tilde f_N(t_1) \right\|_{H^1} \\ =&\left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} U(0,s) \left\{ \sum_{m+n=N+1} \Phi_{m,n}^{(N)}(\omega_0) z^m \bar z^n + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N \right\} ds \right\|_{H^1} \\ \lesssim& \|z\|_{L^{2N+2}(t_1,t_2)}^{N+1} + \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N+1}\|_{L^1(t_1,t_2;H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) + L^2(t_1,t_2;W^{1,\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists $\tilde f_\infty \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\tilde f_N(t) - U(t,0)\tilde f_\infty\|_{H^1} = 0.$$

For $q \in (2, \frac{2d}{d-2})$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\tilde f_N(t)\|_{L^q} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \|U(t,0)\tilde f_\infty\|_{L^q} = 0.$$

By the definition of f_N and $\tilde f_N$ and (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde f_N(t) - f_N(t)\|_{H^1} =& \|(P_c(\omega) - P_c(\omega_0)) f_N\|_{H^1} \\ \lesssim& |\omega - \omega_0| \|f_N\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|\tilde f_N\|_{L^q} \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $t \to \infty$. Combining the above, we have by the definition of $U(t,0)$

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \|f_N(t) - e^{i[(t\omega_0 - \theta(t) + \theta(0)](P_+(\omega_0) - P_-(\omega_0))} e^{-itH_{\omega_0}} \tilde f_\infty\|_{H^1} = 0.$$

Consider the strong limit $W(\omega_0) = \lim_{t\nearrow\infty} e^{itH_{\omega_0}} e^{it(\Delta-\omega_0)\sigma_3}$ and set

$$f_\infty = W(\omega_0)^{-1} e^{i\theta(0)(P_+(\omega_0) - P_-(\omega_0))} \tilde f_\infty.$$

Notice that since $e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}$ is a unitary matrix periodic in t and $e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty$ describes circle in L^2, we have

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\left(W(\omega_0)e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty - e^{itH_{\omega_0}}e^{it(\Delta-\omega_0)\sigma_3}e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty\right)=0.$$

Since $\|e^{itH_{\omega_0}}\|_{L_t^\infty B(L_c^2(H_{\omega_0}),L_c^2(H_{\omega_0}))}\lesssim 1$, Lemma 2.2, implies

$$\|e^{-itH_{\omega_0}}W(\omega_0)e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty - e^{it(\Delta-\omega_0)\sigma_3}e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty\|_{H^1}\approx$$
$$\approx\|W(\omega_0)e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty - e^{itH_{\omega_0}}e^{it(\Delta-\omega_0)\sigma_3}e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty\|_{H^1},$$

the above 0 limit implies

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\|e^{-itH_{\omega_0}}W(\omega_0)e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty - e^{it(\Delta-\omega_0)\sigma_3}e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty\|_{H^1}=0.$$

Since $W(\omega_0)$ conjugates H_{ω_0} into $\sigma_3(-\Delta+\omega_0)$, we get

$$e^{(it\omega_0+i\theta(0))(P_+(\omega_0)-P_-(\omega_0))}e^{-itH_{\omega_0}}\tilde{f}_\infty = e^{-itH_{\omega_0}}W(\omega_0)e^{it\omega_0\sigma_3}f_\infty.$$

Thus we get the following, completing the proof of Theorem 4.1:

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\left\|e^{i\theta(t)\sigma_3}f_N(t)-e^{it\Delta\sigma_3}f_\infty\right\|_{H^1}=0.$$

$\square$

Corollary 4.6. *If Hypothesis 3.5 holds, then* $\Gamma(\omega,\omega)>\Gamma$ *holds.*

Suppose we have $\Gamma(\omega,\omega_0)<-\Gamma/2$. We can pick initial datum so that $f_{N+1}(0)=0$ and $z(0)\approx\epsilon$. Then from Lemma 4.3 we get $\|f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}+\|\nabla f_{N+1}\|_{Z_T}\le C\epsilon^2$ for any T for fixed $C>0$. Then integrating (3.13) we get

$$|\widetilde{z}(t)|^2-|\widetilde{z}(0)|^2\ge\frac{\Gamma}{2}\int_0^t|\widetilde{z}|^{2N+2}+o(\epsilon)\left(\int_0^t|\widetilde{z}|^{2N+2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+o(\epsilon^2).$$

For large t $|\widetilde{z}(t)|<|\widetilde{z}(0)|$ since $z(t)\to0$, so for large t we get $\int_0^t|\widetilde{z}|^{2N+2}=o(\epsilon^2)$. In particular for $t\to\infty$ we get $\epsilon^2\le o(\epsilon^2)$ which is absurd for $\epsilon\to0$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We will provide only a sketch of the proof. The argument is essentially the same of Theorem 1.1. However, when we select the main terms of the equations of the discrete modes we have more than just one dominating term. Since these dominating terms could cancel with each others, the situation is harder than the one in (3.13). We resolve all problems by assuming Hypothesis 5.2 which is very close in spirit to the (FGR) hypothesis in [45].

The eigenvectors $\lambda_j(\omega)$ have corresponding real eigenvectors $\xi_j(\omega)$, normalized so that $\langle \xi_j, \sigma_3 \xi_\ell \rangle = \delta_{j\ell}$. $\sigma_1\xi(\omega)$ generates $N(H_\omega + \lambda(\omega))$. The $\xi_j(\omega)$ can be chosen real because H_ω has real coefficients. The functions $(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \xi_j(\omega, x)$ are C^2; $|\xi_j(\omega, x)| < ce^{-a|x|}$ for fixed $c > 0$ and $a > 0$ if $\omega \in K \subset \mathcal{O}$, K compact. $\xi_j(\omega, x)$ is even in x since by assumption we are restricting ourselves in the category of such functions. We order the indexes so that $N_1 \le N_2 \le \cdots$. we set

$$R(t) = (z \cdot \xi + \bar{z} \cdot \sigma_1 \xi) + f(t) \in \big[\sum_{j,\pm} N(H(t) \mp \lambda_j(t)) \big] \oplus L^2_c(H(t))$$

where $z \cdot \xi = \sum z_j \xi_j$. In the sequel we use the multi index notation $z^m = \prod_j z_j^{m_j}$. Denote by N the largest of the N_j. Given two vectors we will write $\overrightarrow{a} \le \overrightarrow{b}$ if $a_j \le b_j$ for all components. If this happens we write $\overrightarrow{a} < \overrightarrow{b}$ if we have $a_j < b_j$ for at least one j. We will set $(m-n) \cdot \lambda = \sum_j (m-n)_j \lambda_j$. We will denote by Res the set of vectors $\overrightarrow{M} \ge 0$, with integer entries, with the property that $\overrightarrow{M} \cdot \lambda > \omega$ and if $\overrightarrow{M}_1 < \overrightarrow{M}$ then $\overrightarrow{M}_1 \cdot \lambda < \omega$. Then we have:

Theorem 5.1. *Assume (H1)–(H6), (H7')–(H10') (in particular Hypothesis 5.2 below) and that* $d \ge 3$. *Let* u *be a solution of* (NLS), $U = {}^t(u, \overline{u})$. *Let* $\Psi_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^2)$ *be the vectors rapidly decreasing for* $|x| \to \infty$, *with real entries, and with continuous dependence on* ω. *Then if* ε_* *is sufficiently small, there exist* C^1*-functions* $\omega(t)$ *and* $\theta(t)$, *a constant* $\omega_+ \in \mathcal{O}$ *such that* $\sup_{t \ge 0} |\omega(t) - \omega_0| = O(\varepsilon)$, $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \omega(t) = \omega_+$ *and we can write*

$$\begin{aligned} U(t,x) =& e^{i\theta(t)\sigma_3} \Big(\Phi_{\omega(t)}(x) + \zeta(t) \cdot \xi(\omega(t)) + \overline{\zeta(t)} \cdot \sigma_1 \xi(\omega(t)) \Big) \\ &+ e^{i\theta(t)\sigma_3} \sum_{\substack{2 \le |m+n| \le N \\ |(m-n)\cdot\lambda(\omega)| < \omega}} \Psi_{m,n}(\omega(t)) \zeta(t)^m \overline{\zeta(t)}^n + e^{i\theta(t)\sigma_3} f_N(t,x), \end{aligned}$$

with for a fixed $C > 0$

$$\sum_{M \in Res} \|\zeta^M(t)\|_{L^2_t} + \|f_N(t,x)\|_{L^\infty_t H^1_x \cap L^2_t W^{1,2d/(d-2)}_x} \le C\epsilon.$$

Furthermore, there exists $f_+ \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^2)$ *such that*

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| f_N(t) - e^{-i\theta(t)\sigma_3} e^{it\Delta\sigma_3} f_+ \|_{H^1} = 0.$$

We consider $k = 1, 2, ...N$ and set $f = f_k$ and $z_{(k),j} = z_j$ for $k = 1$. The other f_k and $z_{(k),j}$ are defined below by induction.

$$E_{ODE}(k) = \sum_{M \in Res} \Big\{ O(|z^M_{(k)}|^2) + O(z^M_{(k)} f_k) \Big\} + O(f_k^2) + O(\beta(|f_k|^2 f_k)).$$

In the PDE's there will be error terms of the form

$$E_{PDE}(k) = \sum_{M \in Res} O_{loc}(|z_{(k)}|^M|)|z_{(k)}| + O_{loc}(z_{(k)} f_k) + O(f_k^2) + O(\beta(|f_k|^2 f_k)).$$

For $k=1$, $f_1 = f$ and $z_{(k),j} = z_j$ thanks to (2.9) we have

$$i\dot{\omega}\langle \Phi, \partial_\omega \Phi \rangle = \langle \sum_{2 \le |m+n| \le 2N+1} \Lambda^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) z^m_{(k)} \bar{z}^n_{(k)} + \sum_{1 \le |m+n| \le N} z^m_{(k)} \bar{z}^n_{(k)} A^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) f_k + E_{ODE}(k), \Phi \rangle$$

(5.1)

$$i\dot{z}_{j,(k)} - \lambda_j z_{j,(k)} = \sum_{|m|=1}^{N} a^{(k)}_{j,m}(\omega) |z^m_{(k)}|^2 z_{(k),j} + \langle \sum_{\substack{k+1 \le |m+n| \le 2N+1 \\ (m-n)\cdot\lambda \neq \lambda_j}} \Lambda^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) z^m_{(k)} \bar{z}^n_{(k)}$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \le |m+n| \le N} z^m_{(k)} \bar{z}^n_{(k)} A^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) f_k + E_{ODE}(k), \sigma_3 \xi_j \rangle$$

$$i\partial_t f_k = (H_\omega + \sigma_3 \dot{\gamma}) f_k + E_{PDE}(k) +$$

$$+ \sum_{k+1 \le |m+n| \le N+1} R^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) z^m_{(k)} \bar{z}^n_{(k)} \text{ (sum over pairs with } |(m-n)\cdot\lambda| < \omega)$$

$$+ \sum_{2 \le |m+n| \le N+1} R^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) z^m_{(k)} \bar{z}^n_{(k)} \text{ (sum over pairs with } |(m-n)\cdot\lambda| > \omega)$$

with $\Im \left[a^{(k)}_{j,m} \right] = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &A^{(k)}_{m,n},\ R^{(k)}_{m,n} \text{ and } \Lambda^{(k)}_{m,n} \text{ real, rapidly decreasing in } x, \\ &\text{continuous in } (\omega, x), \text{ with } \sigma_1 R^{(k)}_{m,n} = -R^{(k)}_{n,m}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

We set $f_1 = f$ and, summing only over (m,n) with $|(m-n)\cdot\lambda| < \omega$, we define inductively f_k with $k \le N$ by

$$f_k = f_{k-1} + \sum_{|m+n|=k} R_{H_\omega}((m-n)\cdot\lambda) P_c(H_\omega) R^{(k-1)}_{m,n}(\omega) z^m_{(k-1)} \bar{z}^n_{(k-1)}.$$

By $\sigma_1 R^{(k-1)}_{m,n} = -R^{(k-1)}_{n,m}$, by $[\sigma_1, P_c(H_\omega)] = 0$, by the fact that $R^{(k-1)}_{m,n}$ is real and by $\sigma_1 H_\omega = -H_\omega \sigma_1$, we get $\sigma_1 f_k = \overline{f}_k$. Summing only over (m,n) with $\lambda_j(\omega) \neq (m-n)\cdot\lambda(\omega)$, we set

$$z_{(k),j} = z_{(k-1),j} + \sum_{|m+n|=k} \frac{z^m_{(k-1)} \bar{z}^n_{(k-1)}}{\lambda_j - (m-n)\cdot\lambda} \langle \Lambda^{(k-1)}_{m,n}, \sigma_3 \xi_j \rangle.$$

By induction f_k and $z_{(k)}$ solve (5.1) and (5.2). At the step $k = N$, we can define

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta_j = z_{(N),j} + p_j(z_{(N)}, \overline{z}_{(N)}) \sum_{1 \le |m+n| \le N} z_{(N)}^m \overline{z}_{(N)}^n \langle f_N, \alpha_{jmn} \rangle \\ &\widetilde{\omega} = \omega + q(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) + \sum_{1 \le |m+n| \le N} \zeta^m \bar{\zeta}^n \langle f_N, \beta_{mn} \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

with: α_{jmn} and β_{mn} vectors with entries which are real valued exponentially decreasing functions; p_j polynomials in $(z_{(N)}, \overline{z}_{(N)})$ with real coefficients and whose monomials have degree not smaller than $N+1$; q a polynomial in $(\zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ with real coefficients and monomials at least quadratic. The above transformation can be chosen so that with $a_{j,m}(\omega)$ real we have

$$\begin{aligned} &i\dot{\widetilde{\omega}} = \langle E_{PDE}(N), \Phi \rangle \\ &i\dot{\zeta}_j - \lambda_j(\omega)\zeta_j = \sum_{1 \le |m| \le N} a_{j,m}(\omega) |\zeta^m|^2 \zeta_j + \langle E_{ODE}(N), \sigma_3 \xi_j \rangle + \\ &+ \sum_{n+\delta_j \in Res} \overline{\zeta}^n \langle A_{0,n}^{(N)}(\omega) f_N, \sigma_3 \xi_j \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Now we fix $\omega_0 = \omega(0)$, set $H = H(\omega(0))$ and rewrite the equation for f_N,

$$\begin{aligned} &i\partial_t P_c(\omega_0) f_N = \Big\{ H + (\dot{\theta} - \omega_0)(P_+(\omega_0) - P_-(\omega_0)) \Big\} P_c(\omega_0) f_N + \\ &+ P_c(\omega_0) \widetilde{E}_{PDE}(N) + \sum_{2 \le |m+n| \le N+1} P_c(\omega_0) R_{m,n}^{(N)}(\omega_0) \zeta^m \bar{\zeta}^n \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where in the summation $|m+n| \le N$ implies $|(m-n)\cdot\lambda| > \omega$ and with

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{E}_{PDE}(N) = E_{PDE}(N) + \sum_{2 \le |m+n| \le N+1} P_c(\omega_0) \left(R_{m,n}^{(N)}(\omega) - R_{m,n}^{(N)}(\omega_0) \right) \zeta^m \bar{\zeta}^n + \\ &+ (\dot{\theta} - \omega_0) \left(P_c(\omega_0)\sigma_3 - (P_+(\omega_0) - P_-(\omega_0)) \right) f_N + \left(V(\omega) - V(\omega_0) \right) f_N \\ &+ (\dot{\theta} - \omega_0) \left(P_c(\omega) - P_c(\omega_0) \right) \sigma_3 f_N. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Next, recall $H = H(\omega(0))$, we set

$$f_N = - \sum_{2 \le |m+n| \le N+1} R_H((m-n)\cdot\lambda(\omega_0) + i0) P_c(\omega) R_{m,n}^{(N)}(\omega_0) \zeta^m \bar{\zeta}^n + f_{N+1} \tag{5.7}$$

where in the summation $|m+n| \leq N$ implies $|(m-n)\cdot\lambda| > \omega$. Substituting in (5.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\dot{\widetilde{\omega}} = \langle E_{PDE}(N), \Phi\rangle \\
& i\dot{\zeta}_j - \lambda_j(\omega)\zeta_j = \sum_{1\leq|m|\leq N} a_{j,m}(\omega)|\zeta^m|^2\zeta_j - \sum_{n+\delta_j\in Res}\sum_{|m+\widetilde{n}|\geq 2}^{N+1} \zeta^m\overline{\zeta}^{n+\widetilde{n}}\times \\
& \langle A_{0,n}^{(N)}(\omega)R_H((m-\widetilde{n})\cdot\lambda(\omega_0)+i0)P_c(\omega)R_{m,\widetilde{n}}^{(N)}(\omega_0), \sigma_3\xi_j\rangle + \\
& + \sum_{n+\delta_j\in Res} \overline{\zeta}^n\langle A_{0,n}^{(N)}(\omega)f_{N+1}, \sigma_3\xi_j\rangle + \langle E_{ODE}(N), \sigma_3\xi_j\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Substituting in (5.5), where $k = N$, and writing as in (5.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\partial_t P_c(\omega_0)f_{N+1} = \Big(H + (\dot{\theta}-\omega_0)(P_+(\omega_0)-P_-(\omega_0))\Big)P_c(\omega_0)f_{N+1} + \\
& + \sum_{2\leq|m+n|\leq N+1} O(|\zeta|^{|m+n|+1})R_H((m-n)\cdot\lambda(\omega_0)+i0)R_{m,n}^{(N)}(\omega_0) \\
& + P_c(\omega_0)\widetilde{E}_{PDE}(N)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where $O(|\zeta|^{|m+n|+1}) = O(|\zeta^M\zeta|)$ with $M \in Res$ for the factors in the above sum. In (5.8) we eliminate by a new change of variables $\widehat{\zeta}_j = \zeta_j + p_j(\zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ the terms with $\zeta^m\overline{\zeta}^{n+\widetilde{n}}$ not of the form $|\zeta^m|\zeta_j$. The $p_j(z,\overline{z})$ are polynomials with monomials $z^m\overline{z}^{n+\widetilde{n}}$ which, by $(m+\widetilde{n})\cdot\lambda > \omega$, are $O(z^M)$ for $M \in Res$. This implies $\sum_{M\in Res}\|\zeta^M(t)\|_{L_t^2} \approx \sum_{M\in Res}\|\widehat{\zeta}^M(t)\|_{L_t^2}$. In the new variables

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\dot{\widetilde{\omega}} = \langle E_{PDE}(N), \Phi\rangle \\
& i\dot{\widehat{\zeta}}_j - \lambda_j(\omega)\widehat{\zeta}_j = \sum_{1\leq|m|\leq N} \widehat{a}_{j,m}(\omega)|\widehat{\zeta}^m|^2\widehat{\zeta}_j - \sum_{m+\delta_j\in Res} |\widehat{\zeta}^m|^2\widehat{\zeta}_j\times \\
& \langle A_{0,m}^{(N)}(\omega)R_H(m\cdot\lambda(\omega_0)+\lambda_j(\omega_0)+i0)R_{m+\delta_j,0}^{(N)}(\omega_0), \sigma_3\xi_j(\omega)\rangle \\
& + \sum_{m+\delta_j\in Res} \overline{\widehat{\zeta}}^m\langle A_{0,m}^{(N)}(\omega)f_{N+1}, \sigma_3\xi_j(\omega)\rangle + \langle E_{ODE}(N), \sigma_3\xi_j\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

with $\widehat{a}_{j,m}$, $A_{0,m}^{(N)}$ and $R_{m+\delta_j,0}^{(N)}$ real and with all the m such that $m+\delta_j \in Res$. We can denote by $\Gamma_{m+\delta_j,j}(\omega,\omega_0)$ the quantity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{m+\delta_j,j}(\omega,\omega_0) = \Im\Big(\langle A_{0,m}^{(N)}(\omega)R_H(m\cdot\lambda(\omega_0)+\lambda_j(\omega_0)+i0)R_{m+\delta_j,0}^{(N)}(\omega_0)\sigma_3\xi_j(\omega)\rangle\Big) \\
& = \pi\langle A_{0,m}^{(N)}(\omega)\delta(H - m\cdot\lambda(\omega)-\lambda_j(\omega))P_c(\omega_0)R_{m+\delta_j,0}^{(N)}(\omega)\sigma_3\xi_j(\omega)\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\frac{|\widehat{\zeta}_j|^2}{2} &= -\sum_{m+\delta_j\in Res}\Gamma_{m+\delta_j,j}(\omega,\omega_0)|\widehat{\zeta}^m\widehat{\zeta}_j|^2 + + \\ &\Im\Big(\sum_{m+\delta_j\in Res}\langle A^{(N)}_{0,m}(\omega)f_{N+1},\sigma_3\xi_j(\omega)\rangle\overline{\widehat{\zeta}}^m\overline{\widehat{\zeta}}_j + \langle E_{ODE}(N),\sigma_3\xi_j(\omega)\rangle\overline{\widehat{\zeta}}_j\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

Notice that (5.12) contains more terms than (3.13) and that the signs of $\Gamma_{m+\delta_j,j}$ now matter. Denote by Res_j the subset of Res which have at least 1 in the jth component. We assume the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis 5.2. *For $m\in Res$ let $J(m)=\{j : m\in Res_j\}$. There is a fixed $C_0>0$ such that for $|z|<\epsilon$*

$$\sum_{m\in Res}|z^m|^2\sum_{j\in J(m)}\Gamma_{m,j}(\omega,\omega)\ge C_0\sum_{m\in Res}|z^m|^2.$$

Assuming Hypothesis 5.2 we obtain Theorem 5.1 proceeding along the lines of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 5.1. It is possible that a formula of the following form might be true

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j\in J(m)}\langle A^{(N)}_{0,m-\delta_j}(\omega)R_{H_\omega}(m\cdot\lambda(\omega)+i0)P_c(\omega)R^{(N)}_{m,0}(\omega_0),\sigma_3\xi_j(\omega)\rangle = \\ &= C_m\langle\delta(H_\omega - m\cdot\lambda(\omega))R^{(N)}_{m,0}(\omega),\sigma_3R^{(N)}_{m,0}(\omega)\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{5.13}$$

for some constant $C_m>0$. It is elementary to show (5.13) if we replace $A^{(N)}_{0,m-\delta_j}$ with $A_{0,m-\delta_j}$ and $R^{(N)}_{m,0}$ with $R_{m,0}$, from the Taylor expansion in (2.9). For $N=1$ this yields Theorem 1.2 substituting the Hypothesis 5.2 with a generic hypothesis similar to Hypothesis 3.5. Indeed if $N=1$ it is easy to see that $A^{(N)}_{0,\delta_j}=A_{0,\delta_j}$ and $R^{(N)}_{\delta_j+\delta_k,0}=R_{\delta_j+\delta_k,0}$. To get (5.13) in the general case, one should exploit the Hamiltonian nature of (NLS) which has been lost in our proof.

Appendix A. Appendix

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Following the idea of [4, Proposition 4.1], we will transform (3.4) into (3.11) and (3.12) by induction. Let $\omega_1=\omega$ and let

$$\omega_{k+1}=\omega_k+\sum_{\substack{m,n\ge 0\\ m+n=k}}\langle f_N,\tilde{\alpha}^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle z^m\bar{z}^n.\tag{A.1}$$

We will determine $\tilde{\alpha}^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^2)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} i\dot{\omega}_k = & \sum_{2\le m+n\le 2N+1} b^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) z^m \bar{z}^n + \sum_{k+1\le m+n\le N} \langle f_N, \alpha^{(k)}_{m,n}\rangle z^m \bar{z}^n \\ & + O(|z|^{2N+2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2} f_{N+1}\|^2_{H^1}) \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

for $k = 1, \cdots N$. For $k = 1$, Eq. (A.2) follows from Lemma 3.2. Furthermore, we have $b^{(1)}_{m,n}(\omega) = -b^{(1)}_{n,m}(\omega)$, $\alpha^{(1)}_{m,n}(\omega) = \alpha_{n,m}(\omega)$ and $\sigma_1 \alpha^{(1)}_{m,n}(\omega) = -\alpha^{(1)}_{n,m}(\omega)$ because ω is a real number and

$$\overline{f_N} = \sigma_1 f_N. \tag{A.3}$$

Suppose that (A.2), that ω_k is a real number, and that

$$b^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) \text{ are real numbers with } b^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) = -b^{(k)}_{n,m}(\omega), \tag{A.4}$$

$$\alpha^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^2), \quad \sigma_1 \alpha^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) = -\alpha^{(k)}_{n,m}(\omega) \tag{A.5}$$

are true for $k = l$ with $l \le N$.

Differentiating (A.1) with respect to t and substituting (3.4), (3.6) and (A.2) with $k = l$ into the resulting equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i\dot{\omega}_{l+1} = & i\dot{\omega}_l + \sum_{m+n=l} \langle i\partial_t f_N, \tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle z^m \bar{z}^n \\ & + \sum_{m+n=l} \left\{ i\langle f_N, \tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle \frac{d}{dt}(z^m \bar{z}^n) + i\dot{\omega}\langle f_N, \partial_\omega \tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle z^m \bar{z}^n \right\} \\ = & \sum_{2\le m+n\le 2N+1} b^{(l)}_{m,n} z^m \bar{z}^n + \sum_{m+n=l} \left\langle f_N, \alpha^{(l)}_{m,n} + (H^*_\omega + (m-n)\lambda)\tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n} \right\rangle \\ & + \sum_{m+n=l} \left\langle \sum_{p+1=N+1} \Phi^{(N)}_{p,q}(\omega) z^p \bar{z}^q + \mathcal{N}_N, \tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n} \right\rangle z^m \bar{z}^n \\ & + \left(\dot{\gamma}\langle P_c(\omega)\sigma_3 f_N, \tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle + i\dot{\omega}\langle f_N, \partial_\omega \tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle \right) z^m \bar{z}^n \\ & + \sum_{m+n=l} \langle f_N, \tilde{\alpha}^{(l+1)}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle \left\{ m z^{m-1} \bar{z}^n (i\dot{z} - \lambda z) - n z^m \bar{z}^{n-1} \overline{(i\dot{z} - \lambda z)} \right\} \\ & + O(|z|^{2N+2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2} f_{N+1}\|^2_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Put $\tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega) = R_{H^*_\omega}((n-m)\lambda)\alpha^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega)$. Then by Lemma 3.2, the definition of $\mathcal{N}_N$ and

$$|\dot{\omega}| + |\dot{\gamma}| + |i\dot{z} - \lambda z| + \|e^{-a|x|}\mathcal{N}_N\|_{H^1} \lesssim |z|^2 + \|e^{-a|x|/2} f_{N+1}\|^2_{H^1},$$

it holds that (A.2) with $k = l+1$ is true for some $b^{(l+1)}_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ ($2 \le m+n \le 2N+1$) and $\alpha^{(l+1)}_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2_c(H^*_\omega)$ ($m+n = l+1$). Note that $\mathcal{N}_N$

can be expanded into a formal power series of z, $\bar{z}$ and f_N whose coefficients are real.

By the definition of $\tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n}$, (A.5) with $k=l$ and the fact that $\sigma_1 H_\omega \sigma_1 = -H_\omega$,

$$\sigma_1 \tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega) = \tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega). \tag{A.6}$$

From (A.3), (A.6) and (A.2) for $k=l+1$, we see that ω_{l+1} is a real number and that (A.4) and (A.5) are true for $k=l+1$. Thus we prove

$$\begin{aligned} i\dot{\omega}_{N+1} = & \sum_{2\le m+n\le 2N+1} b^{(N+1)}_{m,n}(\omega) z^m \bar{z}^n \\ & + O(|z|^{2N+2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2} f_{N+1}\|^2_{H^1}), \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

where $b^{(N+1)}_{m,n}(\omega)$ are real numbers satisfying $b^{(N+1)}_{m,n}(\omega) = -b^{(N+1)}_{n,m}(\omega)$. In particular, we have $b^{(N+1)}_{n,n} = 0$ for $n = 1, \cdots, N$.

Using

$$\frac{d}{dt}(z^m \bar{z}^n) = z^m \bar{z}^n \left\{ -i\lambda(m-n) + O(|z|^2 + \|e^{-a|x|/2} f\|^2_{L^2}) \right\},$$

we can find a real polynomial $\tilde{p}(x,y)$ of degree $2N+1$ such that

$$\begin{gathered} \tilde{\omega} = \omega_{N+1} + \tilde{p}(z, \bar{z}), \\ \dot{\tilde{\omega}} = O(|z|^{2N+2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2} f_{N+1}\|^2_{H^1}). \end{gathered}$$

Thus we complete the proof. □

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let $z_1 = z$ and

$$z_{k+1} = z_k + \sum_{\substack{m+n=k \\ n\ne N}} \langle f_N, \tilde{\gamma}^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega)\rangle z^m \bar{z}^n \quad \text{for } k = 1, \cdots, N. \tag{A.8}$$

For $k = 1, \cdots, N+1$, we will choose $\tilde{\gamma}^{(k)}_{m,n} \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2_c(H^*_\omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} i\dot{z}_k - \lambda z_k = & r_k(z_k, \overline{z_k}) + \langle f_N, \gamma^{(k)}(z)\rangle \\ & + O(|z_k|^{2N+2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2} f_N\|^2_{H^1}), \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

where r_k is a real polynomials of degree $2N+1$ with $r_k(x,y) = O(x^2+y^2)$ as $(x,y) \to (0,0)$,

$$\gamma^{(k)}(z) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{k\le m+n\le N} \gamma^{(k)}_{m,n} z^m \bar{z}^n & \text{for } k = 1, \cdots, N, \\ \gamma^{(N)}_{0,N} \bar{z}^N & \text{for } k = N+1, \end{cases}$$

and $\gamma^{(k)}_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2_c(H^*_\omega)$. This is true for $k = 1$. Assume (A.9) for $k = l \le N$ and substitute (A.8) into (A.9). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&i\dot z_{l+1} - \lambda z_{l+1} \\
=&i\dot z_l - \lambda z_l + \sum_{m+n=l, n\neq N} \langle (H_\omega - \lambda(m-n-1)) f_N, \tilde\gamma^{(l)}_{m,n}\rangle z^m \bar z^n \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{m+n=l \\ n\neq N}} \left\{ \left\langle iP_c(\omega)\partial_t f_{N+1} - H_\omega f_N, \tilde\gamma^{(l)}_{m,n} \right\rangle + i\dot\omega \langle f_N, \partial_\omega \tilde\gamma^{(l)}_{m,n}\rangle \right\} z^m \bar z^n \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{m+n=l \\ n\neq N}} \left(m z^{m-1} \bar z^n (i\dot z - \lambda z) - n z^m \bar z^{n-1} \overline{(i\dot z - \lambda z)} \right) \langle f_N, \gamma^{(l)}_{m,n}\rangle z^m \bar z^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Substituting (3.4) into (A.10) and letting

$$\tilde\gamma^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega) = R_{H^*_\omega}((m-n-1)\lambda)\gamma^{(l)}_{m,n}(\omega),$$

we see that (A.9) is true for $k = l+1$. Thus we complete the induction. By (3.9), (A.9) with $k = N+1$ and the fact that

$$\begin{gathered}
|z_{N+1} - z| = O(z^2_{N+1}), \\
\|f_N - \tilde f_N\|_{H^1} \lesssim |\omega - \omega_0| (\|e^{-a|x|} f_{N+1}\|_{H^1} + |z|^{N+1}),
\end{gathered}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&i\dot z_{N+1} - \lambda z_{N+1} \\
=&r_{N+1}(z_{N+1}, \overline{z_{N+1}}) + \sum_{m+n=N+1} \langle \Psi^{(N+1)}_{m,n}(\omega_0), \gamma^{(N)}_{0,N}(\omega)\rangle z^m_{N+1} \overline{z_{N+1}}^{n+N} \\
&+ \overline{z_{N+1}}^N \langle f_{N+1}, \gamma^{(N)}_{0,N}\rangle + O\left(|z|^{2N+2} + \|e^{-a|x|/2} f_{N+1}\|^2_{H^1} \right) \\
&+ O\left(|\omega - \omega_0| (|z|^N \|e^{-a|x|} f_{N+1}\|_{H^1} + |z|^{2N+1} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

The standard theory of normal forms (see [1]) tells us that by introducing a new variable

$$\tilde z = z_{N+1} + \sum_{\substack{2\le m+n\le 2N+1 \\ m,n\ge 0,\, m-n\neq 1}} \tilde c_{m,n}(\omega) z^m_{N+1} \overline{z^n_{N+1}},$$

we can transform (A.11) into (3.12). Since r_{N+1} is a real polynomial and $\Psi^{(N+1)}_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}_a(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^2)$ for m, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m + n = N + 1$, it follows that

$\tilde{c}_{m,n}(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $n \le 2N$ and $a_n(\omega, \omega_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \le n \le N-1$ and by (3.10) with

$$\Im a_N(\omega, \omega_0) = \Im \langle R_{H_{\omega_0}}((N+1)\lambda + i0)\Phi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(\omega_0), \gamma^{(N)}_{0,N}(\omega)\rangle. \tag{A.12}$$

$\square$

Remark A.1. By $\frac{1}{x-i0} = PV\frac{1}{x} + i\pi\delta_0(x)$, by [8] and by the fact that $\Phi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(\omega_0)$ and $\gamma^{(N)}_{0,N}(\omega)$ have real entries, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Im \langle R_{H_{\omega_0}}((N+1)\lambda(\omega_0) + i0)\Phi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(\omega_0), \gamma^{(N)}_{0,N}(\omega)\rangle \\ &= \pi \langle \delta_0\left(H_{\omega_0} - (N+1)\lambda(\omega_0)\right)\Phi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(\omega_0), \gamma^{(N)}_{0,N}(\omega)\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

If Hypothesis 3.5 fails because

$$\delta(H_\omega - (N+1)\lambda(\omega))\Phi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(\omega) = 0 \tag{A.14}$$

identically in ω, then by [12] the vector $\Psi^{(N)}_{N+1,0}(\omega)$ is real and rapidly decreasing to 0 as $|x| \to \infty$. This suggests that we can continue the normal form expansion one more step.

REFERENCES

[1] V.I. ARNOLD, *Geometrical methods in the theory of ordinary differential equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **250**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[2] V.S. BUSLAEV, G.S.PERELMAN, *Scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation: states close to a soliton*, St. Petersburg Math.J., **4** (1993), 1111–1142.

[3] V.S. BUSLAEV, G.S.PERELMAN, *On the stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Nonlinear evolution equations (N.N. Uraltseva eds.), Transl. Ser. 2, **164** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, 75–98.

[4] V.S.BUSLAEV, C.SULEM, *On the asymptotic stability of solitary waves of Nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. An. Nonlin., **20** (2003), 419–475.

[5] T. CAZENAVE, Semilinear Schrodinger equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics**10**, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[6] T.CAZENAVE, P.L.LIONS, *Orbital stability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations* , Comm. Math. Phys. **85** (1982), 549–561.

[7] S.CUCCAGNA, *Stabilization of solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Comm. Pure App. Math. **54** (2001), 1110–1145; Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 147.

[8] S. CUCCAGNA, *On asymptotic stability of ground states of NLS*, Rev. Math. Phys. **15** (2003), 877–903.

[9] S. CUCCAGNA, *Dispersion for Schrödinger equation with periodic potential in 1D*, to appear Jour.Diff. Eq.

[10] S. CUCCAGNA, *On instability of excited states of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, http://arxiv.org/abs/0801.4237.

[11] S. Cuccagna, D. Pelinovsky, *Bifurcations from the endpoints of the essential spectrum in the linearized nonlinear Schrodinger problem*, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005), 053520.

[12] S.Cuccagna, D.Pelinovsky, V.Vougalter , *Spectra of positive and negative energies in the linearization of the NLS problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **58** (2005), 1–29.

[13] S. Cuccagna, M. Tarulli, *On asymptotic stability in energy space of ground states of NLS in 2D*, http://arxiv.org/abs/0801.1277.

[14] E.N. Dancer, *A note on asymptotic uniqueness for some nonlinearities which change sign* , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 61 (2000), 305-312.

[15] G.Fibich, X.P.Wang, *Stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with inhomogeneous nonlinearities* , Physica D 175 (2003), 96-108.

[16] M.Grillakis, J.Shatah, W.Strauss , *Stability of solitary waves in the presence of symmetries, I* , Jour. Funct. An. **74** (1987), 160–197.

[17] M.Grillakis, J.Shatah, W.Strauss, *Stability of solitary waves in the presence of symmetries, II*, Jour. Funct. An. **94** (1990), 308–348.

[18] S.Gustafson, K.Nakanishi, T.P.Tsai, *Asymptotic Stability and Completeness in the Energy Space for Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Small Solitary Waves* , Int. Math. Res. Notices **66** (2004), 3559–3584.

[19] Y. Kabeya and K. Tanaka, *Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in* $\mathbf{R}^N$ *and Sere's non-degeneracy condition*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 24 (1999), 563–598.

[20] M. Keel and T, Tao, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), 955–980.

[21] M. K. Kwong, Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **105** (1989), 243–266.

[22] K. McLeod, *Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of* $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^n$*, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), 495–505.

[23] T.Mizumachi, *Asymptotic stability of small solitons to 1D NLS with potential* , http://arxiv.org/abs/math.AP/0605031.

[24] T.Mizumachi, *Asymptotic stability of small solitons for 2D Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential*, http://arxiv.org/abs/math.AP/0609323.

[25] C.A.Pillet, C.E.Wayne, *Invariant manifolds for a class of dispersive, Hamiltonian partial differential equations* , J. Diff. Eq. **141** (1997), 310–326.

[26] G.S. Perelman, *Asymptotic stability of solitons for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Comm. in PDE **29** (2004), 1051–1095.

[27] I.Rodnianski, W.Schlag, A.Soffer, *Asymptotic stability of N-soliton states of NLS* , preprint, 2003, http://arxiv.org/abs/math.AP/0309114.

[28] J.Shatah, W.Strauss, *Instability of nonlinear bound states*, Comm. Math. Phys. **100** (1985), 173–190.

[29] I.M.Sigal, *Nonlinear wave and Schrödinger equations. I. Instability of periodic and quasi- periodic solutions* , Comm. Math. Phys. **153** (1993), 297–320.

[30] D.M.A.Stuart, *Modulation approach to stability for non topological solitons in semilinear wave equations* , J. Math. Pures Appl. **80** (2001), 51–83.

[31] A.Soffer, M.Weinstein, *Multichannel nonlinear scattering II. The case of anisotropic potentials and data* , J. Diff. Eq. **98** (1992), 376–390.

[32] A.Soffer, M.Weinstein, *Selection of the ground state for nonlinear Schrödinger equations* , Rev. Math. Phys. **16** (2004), 977–1071.

[33] A.Soffer, M.Weinstein, *Resonances, radiation damping and instability in Hamiltonian nonlinear wave equations* , Invent. Math. **136** (1999), 9–74.

[34] T.P.Tsai, *Asymptotic dynamics of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with many bound states*, J. Diff. Eq. **192** (2003), 225–282.

[35] T.P.Tsai, H.T.Yau, *Asymptotic dynamics of nonlinear Schrödinger equations: resonance dominated and radiation dominated solutions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), 153–216.

[36] T.P.Tsai, H.T.Yau, *Relaxation of excited states in nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **31** (2002), 1629–1673.

[37] T.P.Tsai, H.T.Yau, *Classification of asymptotic profiles for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with small initial data*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **6** (2002), 107–139.

[38] R. Weder, *Center manifold for nonintegrable nonlinear Schrödinger equations on the line* , Comm. Math. Phys. **170** (2000), 343–356.

[39] M. Weinstein, *Lyapunov stability of ground states of nonlinear dispersive equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), 51–68.

[40] M. Weinstein, *Modulation stability of ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Siam J. Math. Anal. **16** (1985), 472–491.

[41] J.Wei and M.Winter, *On a cubic-quintic Ginzburg-Landau equation with global coupling*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 1787–1796.

[42] K. Yajima, The $W^{k,p}$-continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan **47** (1995), 551–581.

[43] K. Yajima, The $W^{k,p}$-continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators III., J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **2** (1995), 311–346.

[44] G.Zhou, *Perturbation Expansion and N-th Order Fermi Golden Rule of the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations*, http://arxiv.org/abs/math.AP/0610381.

[45] G.Zhou, I.M.Sigal, *Relaxation of Solitons in Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Potential*, http://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0603060.

DISMI University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, via Amendola 2, Padiglione Morselli, Reggio Emilia 42100 Italy
E-mail address: `cuccagna.scipiounimore.it`

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki 812-8581 Japan
E-mail address: `mizumatimath.kyushu-u.ac.jp`